

Hearing Date And Time: February 24, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
Objection Deadline: February 17, 2009 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

John R. Brantingham
10634 Oakford
White Lake, MI 48386
248.249.2409

Retired Employee of Delphi Corporation
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

LETTER TO CONTEST DELPHI'S MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 105,
363(b)(1), AND 1108 CONFIRMING DEBTORS' AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE
EMPLOYER-PAID POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS AND EMPLOYER-
PAID POST-RETIREMENT LIFE INSURANCE BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN (A) SALARIED
EMPLOYEES AND (B) RETIREES AND THEIR SURVIVING SPOUSES
("SALARIED OPEB TERMINATION MOTION")

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

I am a retired (01JA09) Delphi employee. As the court knows, Delphi Corp. filed a motion with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court to discontinue healthcare and life insurance coverage for retirees. The notice also stated my Retiree Health Reimbursement Account (RHRA) would be eliminated. This account was established as part of the "deal" a couple of years ago when Delphi terminated health insurance coverage for retirees once they reach 65 years of age.

I am contesting this motion for the following reasons:

- 1) The obligation Delphi has to provide health care coverage for retirees is time limited. Coverage for retirees is stopped when they reach age 65. And, retirees hired after 1992 do not receive health care coverage in retirement.
- 2) People hired after December 31, 1992 knew they would not receive health care coverage in retirement when they hired in so they have had time to plan for health care in retirement. People such as myself who were hired in the 60's, 70's or 80's were told by the company that we would receive health care coverage in retirement and now have no time to plan for providing ourselves and families with health care coverage after retirement. People who have already retired are in an even worse situation. It will cost some of them more than a third of their fixed income to continue their coverage.
- 3) The motion made by Delphi is for permanent elimination of health care benefits for salaried people who were promised this coverage when they retire. When the company recovers and the executives are receiving bonuses, it makes sense to me that the company would honor its commitment to provide health care coverage to the salaried employees who were promised coverage.

SUMMARY

I am an engineer who hired into the company under GM in 1973, and have dedicated my working career to making GM and then Delphi the best company I could. I had opportunities over the years to work in other industries for other companies. Some of these offers were for a higher salary. I turned them down out of loyalty to my company. I expect some level of loyalty from my company.

I understand the extraordinary difficult economic times that the company, as well as the automotive industry faces. Sacrifices are being made now and more will be necessary. If health care coverage for retirees needs to be temporarily modified to help ensure the company's survival, then that is what we need to do. For example, co-pays, deductibles and/or premiums could be temporarily raised. However, I believe the permanent elimination of health care coverage for salaried retirees that were promised this coverage is not justified.


My specific case: my spouse has been fighting Lyme disease for 18 years now. I've already been informed that NO carrier will pick her up with this pre-existing condition. At this point, I have no idea how we will be able to make it.

Also, most of us did NOT take out life insurance policies during our working years because of GM/Delphi's commitment to provide that. Now you have retirees ranging in age from 55 to 70 who NOW have to try and get reasonable life insurance with affordable premiums??!

I appreciate the court taking the time to consider my argument and respectfully ask that you DO NOT approve Delphi's motion to terminate health coverage for salaried employees that are counting on that coverage. At the very least, consider, as mentioned above, modifications, alterations, or changes which could be phased in.

Dated: February 10, 2009

John R. Brantingham



10634 Oakford
White Lake, MI 48386
248.249.2409